

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,144	01/31/2002	Michael W. Wallace	3301-11	3557
20575	7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.			PILLAI, NAMITHA	
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204		E 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2173	
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No. 10/066,144		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination WALLACE ET AL.	
			Art Unit	
	John W. Cabe	ca	2173	
Document Code - AP.PRE				
Notice of Panel De	ecision fro	m Pre-	Appeal Brief R	eview

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>8/25/05</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Potential ☐ Other: . 	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run f the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this de running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	here is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cl Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time.	
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
3.4/1	(3) Kristine Kincaid Wristine Kincaid
(1) <u>John W. Cábeca</u> .	
(2) <u>Namitha Pillai</u> .	(4)